# DOWNREGULATION OF ARABINOGALACTAN PROTEINS DURING SPERMATOGENESIS IN THE MOSS *PHYSCOMITRIUM PATENS*

Eric Eugene JOHNSON<sup>1\*</sup>, Alyssa TAYLOR-LAPOLE<sup>2</sup>, Matthew BAVUSO<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, Virginia Wesleyan University, Virginia Beach, VA – United States.
 <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC – United States.
 <sup>3</sup> Philips Institute, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA – United States.
 \* Corresponding author. E-mail: ejohnson@vwu.edu

Abstract: Seedless plants utilize flagellated sperm cells for reproduction that develop using a series of cell walls resulting in naked motile cells. Arabinogalactan Proteins (AGPs) have been shown to play an important role in the maturation of sperm in ferns by an unknown mechanism. We sought to identify AGPs expressed in the spermatids of the moss *Physcomitrium patens* to identify this mechanism because it is amenable to genetic manipulation. We tracked the expression of 121 putative AGP-encoding genes across three time points of development with RNAseq and quantified total AGPs to compare to the fern *Ceratopteris richardii*. Unexpectedly, AGP genes and proteins were significantly downregulated in *P. patens*. BURP domain-containing genes, which are expressed in pollen of angiosperms, were highly upregulated and may serve similar roles to the AGPs of ferns. This study shows that the fern cell walls do not share as significant of a need for AGPs of developing sperm in bryophytes and this may be related to the number of flagella found in the respective lineages.

Keywords: bryophyte, BURP-domain proteins, cell wall, flagella, gametogenesis, spermatid development.

# Introduction

Throughout plant evolution, key adaptations arose in major lineages allowing them to thrive in drier conditions. One feature that has undergone dramatic changes among lineages is the morphology of the sperm cell [SOUTHWORTH & CRESTI, 1997]. The male gamete of the oldest land plants form a naked coiled cell containing a pair of flagella reminiscent of sperm from related algae. The pollen grains in most seed plants represent the entire microgametophyte and only produce sperm nuclei housed within. As new lineages emerged from ancestral bryophytes, sperm cells increase their number of flagella whereas ferns have dozens, but a few pollen-bearing seed plants, Cycads and Ginkgo, can have upwards of 50,000 flagella. In these, sperm travel through a pollen tube that bursts open just shy of the egg and the flagella drive the sperm across the short distance within the ovule to the egg [RENZAGLIA & GARBARY, 2001; SOUTHWORTH & CRESTI, 1997].

Hydration of mature antheridia in seedless plants cue sperm release, initiating their journey to the egg-bearing archegonium to form the zygote. In the later stages of development, sperm transform from the characteristic boxy plant cell to take on their coiled shape while the locomotory apparatus forms at the cell anterior concurrent with the deletion of cytoplasm, facilitated by the chemically unique extraprotoplasmic matrix (EPM) [BERNHARD & RENZAGLIA, 1995; GENAU & al. 2021; LOPEZ & al. 2017]. The locomotory apparatus contains a number of microtubule based structures including the lamellar strip, the overlying

flagella, and their anchoring basal bodies all attached to a row of connected microtubules, known as a spline [RENZAGLIA & al. 2000]. Sperm of most gymnosperms and all flowering plants, lack any need for flagella as pollinators and pollen tubes cooperate to deliver sperm nuclei directly to the egg.

Arabinogalactan Proteins (AGPs) are excreted glycoproteins containing large branching sugar residues consisting primarily of arabinose and galactose. They are encompassed within the hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein family, along with extensins and proline-rich proteins [SEIFERT & ROBERTS, 2007]. Historically, they are defined by their small proteinaceous backbones with high proportions of hydroxyproline that act as O-glycosylation points [TAN & al. 2003, 2010]. However, a survey of plant genomes found much higher AGP diversity, including the formation of chimeras with kinases, formins, or cell wall modifying domains among other subfamilies [MA & al. 2017]. The authors of this survey ultimately define AGPs by the proportion of "glycomodules" to gene length. Glycomodules are the dipeptides enriched in AGP sequences consisting of Pro + [Ala, Ser, Thr]. While most have a signal peptide and many are predicted to be GPI-anchored, these do not strictly define the proteins. Those that are GPI-anchored have the ability to remain linked to the outer surface of the plasma membrane, but may also render them susceptible to cleavage by phospholipases that would release them into the wall where they may play myriad roles [BORNER & al. 2003; ELORTZA & al. 2003, 2006]. The nature of this is, however, consistently vague with little direct evidence of a molecular mechanism [LAMPORT & al. 2014].

AGPs are known to play roles in many aspects of plant biology such as cell fate determination, plant microbe-interactions, gravitropism, and more [SEIFERT & ROBERTS, 2007], but they commonly facilitate aspects of reproduction across most land plants. They are produced at various developmental events and in key tissues involved in pollen and sperm production in a diverse sampling of flowering plants [CHUDZIK & al. 2014; COSTA & al. 2015; LI & al. 1992; MA & al. 2019; QIN & al. 2007; SOUTHWORTH & KWIATKOWSKI, 1996] and gymnosperms [MOGAMI & al. 1999; YATOMI & al. 2002]. Both the generative cell, giving rise to two sperm cells, and vegetative cell, controlling the growth of the pollen tube, strongly label for AGPs in most angiosperms and they are believed to function in both pollen tube elongation and pollen-pistil interactions affecting compatibility and efficient delivery of the sperm cells in nonflagellated plant lineages [LESZCZUK & al. 2019; SEIFERT & ROBERTS, 2007]. In the fern Ceratopteris, varying AGP populations are differentially expressed through spermatogenesis and are intimately associated with flagella throughout their elongation in the extracellular matrix [LOPEZ & RENZAGLIA, 2014]. When the AGPs are chemically removed late in development, flagella become haphazardly arranged and the cell fails to eliminate cytoplasm or develop the microtubular backbone. The purpose of this study was to directly identify AGP encoding genes associated with spermatogenesis in flagellated plants using the model moss Physcomitrium patens by tracking AGP expression, family-wide, throughout antheridium development in order to test for a more specific mechanism of AGP activity.

# Materials and methods

*Tissue growing conditions. Ceratopteris richardii* spores were obtained and grown according to the manufacturer (Carolina Biological, Burlington, NC, USA). *Physcomitrium patens* culture was maintained under 24 °C 24hr light at 400-500 lux every 7-12 days growing on PpNH4 media. In preparation for inducing gametangia, 2 mm balls of protonemal tissue were arranged in a 9x9 grid on BCD+Ammonium Tartarate (5 mM) media [RESKI & COVE, 2004]

and grown for 5 weeks to allow gametophore development. Gametangia were induced as described [HOHE & al. 2002]. Antheridia development was confirmed by microscopy prior to harvest. For RNA-seq samples, tissue was frozen in liquid nitrogen, ground to a fine powder and stored at -80 °C before RNA extraction. For qPCR samples, gametophore tips only were dissected, frozen, and stored at -80 °C. These samples were homogenized in RNAzol (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH, USA) using microfuge pestles followed by an application of the homogenate to a shredder spin column (Lamda Biotech, St. Louis, MO, USA).

*RNA prep, RNA-seq, and analysis.* RNA was extracted from quadruplicate samples of each timepoint using RNAzol, according to the manufacturer's protocol. Subsequently, samples were DNAse I treated and concentrated using a silica column (Zymo research, Irvine, CA, USA). Samples were assessed using a Qubit and 2100 Bioanalyser, ribo-depleted with a RiboZero Plant kit. Libraries were created with NEXTflex Rapid Directional qRNA-seq kit and sequenced with a HiSeq4000 (75pb paired-end, 30M reads per sample) performed by ACGT, Inc (Wheeling, IL, USA). Bcl2fastq was used to de-multiplex the raw reads. These data were further analyzed by the University of Virginia Bioinformatics Core, including quantitation and expression analyses.

For qPCR analysis, RNA quality was assessed visually on a gel and equivalent quantities were converted to cDNA using Protoscript II Reverse Transcriptase (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA). qPCR was conducted using the Pfaffl method, after primer pair efficiencies were calculated in triplicate [PFAFFL, 2001], using PowerUp SYBR green master mix (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) on a QuantStudio3 thermocycler. Primer pairs are listed in Table 1.

| Primers | Gene Locus                                    |                                | Efficiency | Sequence                    |  |  |
|---------|---|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| E-256   | D=2-7 420                                     | Fasciclin-like                 | 2.14       | TCCTTCTCTCTCTACTCTTCCCACTTC |  |  |
| E-257   | Pp3c/_430                                     | AGP                            |            | CCTGATACCTCCAATCGCCAAC      |  |  |
| E-258   | $D_{m}2_{a}21_{a}10620$                       | Periostin-<br>Related          | 1.94       | AGCCTTCACCATCACCAG          |  |  |
| E-259   | Pp3c21_10620                                  |                                |            | TAGAGCGACAACAGCGGAC         |  |  |
| E-252   | $P_{n}_{2}_{c1}$ (1120)                       | Fasciclin-like<br>AGP          | 1.94       | AGCAGCGAAGGTCTACAG          |  |  |
| E-253   | rpsc1_4150                                    |                                |            | GTCGATACCATGAACAGCAAC       |  |  |
| E-254   | Dn3c13 8280                                   | Fasciclin-like                 | 2.06       | TTGCGCCCTTCTCGTTTC          |  |  |
| E-255   | 1 p3c13_8280                                  | AGP                            | 2.00       | GCAACAACTTTCTCGTGCAG        |  |  |
| E-476   | $P_{m3c10} 22850$                             | Periostin-                     | 1.07       | GCTTCCTAACACTACCTTGAG       |  |  |
| E-477   | 1 p3c10_22830                                 | Related                        | 1.97       | CTCCAGTGAGAGCAAATACC        |  |  |
| E-478   | $P_{n}_{2}_{2}_{2}_{2}_{3}_{6}_{6}_{8}_{120}$ | IRAK1                          | *ΔΔCt      | GCCTACTGCTTCTTGTATTGC       |  |  |
| E-479   | 1 p3c20_8120                                  |                                |            | TTGCATGGAGTTGTGTCTG         |  |  |
| E-480   | $P_{n_{2}c_{5}}$ 1/830                        | Probable                       | *^^C+      | TCGCACCGCTTGTATCTG          |  |  |
| E-481   | 1 p3c3_14830                                  | LTP_2                          | ΔΔΟΙ       | CGAGCGTGAAACAAGGAAC         |  |  |
| E-484   | $P_{n}_{2}_{2}_{16} = 10400$                  | BURP<br>domain                 | 1.94       | GATCAGAGCAAGGAAAGTCG        |  |  |
| E-485   | 1 p3c10_19400                                 |                                |            | TATCCTTTGAGTGGGACCTG        |  |  |
| E-470   | $P_{n_{2}c_{5}}$ 0210                         | AGP-31                         | 1.96       | TGAAAGATGCCGAGGTGG          |  |  |
| E-471   | Pp3c5_9210                                    |                                |            | TTTCGCCTTACATCCATTGC        |  |  |
| E-272   |   | Reference<br>Gene; RP-<br>L21e | 1.94       | TTTCTCTTCTTCCTCTCGCTC       |  |  |
| E-273   | Pp3c13_2360                                   |                                |            | TTGTGCCTGAAGGGTCTG          |  |  |
| E-533   | Pp3c8 1210                                    | ITP 2                          | *ΔΔCt      | AATCGGACATCATGCCTTC         |  |  |
| E-534   | 1 p3co_1210                                   |                                |            | CTGGAATAACTCTGCCATTGC       |  |  |

Table 1. Primers used in qPCR analyses

| E-535 | $D_{2} = 2 = 12 + 11710$ | PLAT/LH2   | *ΔΔCt                | GAGTGCCATGCAGAGCAAC  |
|-------|--------------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| E-536 | Pp3c12_11/10             | domain     |                      | TGCGTCTATCCCACGATGA  |
| E-537 | Pp3c2_4510               | BURP *AACt | ACGCATCACCACTCTACAGC |                      |
| E-538 |                          | domain     | *AACt                | CGTCCATCACCTTCCATCTC |

DOWNREGULATION OF ARABINOGALACTAN PROTEINS DURING SPERMATOGENESIS ...

*AGP extraction.* Soluble AGPs were extracted according to [POPPER, 2011]. Total protein was quantified using the BCA method and total AGPs were quantified using a radial gel diffusion assay [VAN HOLST & CLARKE, 1985]. The gel diffusion assay showed an  $R^2$ >0.99 using Gum Arabic as a standard with a dilution series between 0.031-2 µg.

# **Results and discussions**

MA & al. (2017) identified AGPs from across the plant kingdom, and found 104 putative AGPs in the P. patens genome. Dynamic expression of this suite of genes was assessed using RNA-seq in plants at two points during spermatogenesis compared against non-induced samples. P. patens produces antheridia when exposed to low light, low temperature and long day conditions (16 dark/8 light) [HOHE & al. 2002]. Upon induction, new antheridia initials form and mature over 10 defined stages [LANDBERG & al. 2013]. However, additional antheridia initials form in succession after the previous. While the oldest antheridia may mature by 16 days after induction (stage 9), there will be a greater percentage of stage 9 antheridia later as additional sets of antheridia form and then mature. With this in mind, we sampled plants at 0 days past induction (dpi), showing only vegetative growth, at 15 dpi, when the first antheridia are nearly mature, and 28 dpi, when 2-4 antheridia in each cluster are mature and relatively few young antheridia apparent. When expression of all 104 putative AGPs were assessed, it was found that the majority were either downregulated or saw no significate change in expression (Figure 1A and archived data [JOHNSON, 2023]). Only two putative AGPs saw moderate upregulation during spermatogenesis in the initial screen. These include Pp3c26 8120 which showed a mere 1.28 Log<sub>2</sub> fold change (Log<sub>2</sub>FC) at 15dpi that was sustained in the 28 dpi samples; and Pp3c10 22850 showed a 1.55 Log<sub>2</sub>FC increase in 28 dpi vs. 0 dpi samples. A third putative AGP, Pp3c5 9210, not identified as an AGP by MA & al. (2017) was expressed strongly only in the 28dpi sample with a 2.96 log<sub>2</sub>FC (Figure 1A). It is not surprising that some AGPs were missing from the target list as the authors acknowledged using a high threshold that likely excluded some genes. In validating these results with qPCR, we found that Pp3c26 8210 was too lowly expressed to accurately quantify in most replicates. Pp3c10 22850 saw a gradual increase in expression at 15dpi, but then a gradual downregulation at 28dpi. The third putative AGP, Pp3c5 9210 did see increased expression at both 15dpi at 1.82 Log<sub>2</sub>(FC) and a much stronger increase of 5.77 Log<sub>2</sub>(FC) at 28dpi (Figure 1B). The RNA-seq samples contained whole gametophores in an attempt to harvest tissue quickly, though this may have resulted in a dilution of spermatid-derived RNA with vegetative tissue. Subsequent qPCR analysis contained only gametophore tips, where antheridia are bore, giving a higher concentration of the tissues/cells of interest so a larger Log<sub>2</sub>(FC) in our qPCR analysis is not unexpected.



**Figure 1.** AGP-transcriptome dynamics during spermatogenesis in *P. patens*. **A**. normalized relative transcript counts of 104 putative AGP-encoding genes 0, 15 or 28 days past induction. Only three AGPs show a significant up-regulation, while the majority show no change (ND) and many show down-regulation. p > 0.05. **B**. qPCR analysis of AGPs in antheridia-induced tissue at 15 and 28 dpi. \* Pp3c26\_8120 was not expressed at sufficient enough levels to measure in 3 out of 4 replicates. Bars are +/- SE. N=3-4.

Since the drop in AGP expression was initially unexpected, we wanted to confirm whether this change was reflected in total protein changes over the same time period. Total soluble AGPs were extracted and quantified (Figure 2A). While there was no significant change between 0- and 15-dpi samples, there was a significant decrease in AGPs during the maturation of sperm cells at 28 dpi, whereas we found 0.065, 0.076, and 0.036  $\mu$ g AGP/ $\mu$ g extracted protein, respectively. We then quantified AGPs in the fern C. richardi to ensure that the total protein extract was reflective of the ultrastructural analysis that initially identified the increase in spermatogenesis-related AGPs [LOPEZ & RENZAGLIA, 2014]. As expected, a significant increase in AGPs was noted when sperm cells matured and at the same timepoint point that swimming sperm were observed, 11 days after sowing (das), compared to 8 das; p < 0.05 (Figure 2B). While it should be noted that C. richardii sperm mature more rapidly and the gametophytes are structurally simpler and shortlived, there was more than 10 times the mass of AGPs in C. richardii compared to P. patens. AGP levels increased from 0.310 to 0.569  $\mu$ g AGP/ $\mu$ g extracted protein as sperm matured. In conjunction with our gene expression analysis, this suggests that AGPs play a far reduced role in spermatogenesis in moss compared to C. richardii. However, a pair of studies investigating the cell wall makeup of maturing moss sperm cells in *P. patens* and *Aulacomnium palustre* note the presence of dynamic populations of AGP-epitopes in the spermatid walls that wanes some as maturation commences [HENRY, 2021; LOPEZ-SWALLS, 2016]. From our data, it is unclear how or when these AGPs were expressed, though there is an emerging theme that the presence of particular AGPs may arise from the presence of the particular glycotransferases that build them under given conditions rather than the AGP-encoding genes themselves [SILVA & al. 2020]. It is possible that one/some of the non-differentially expressed genes in our study codes for the AGPs found in mature sperm and the changing epitopes labelled by [HENRY, 2021] result from the differential expression of AGP-modifying glycotransferases. Our data, however, clearly show a reduction in AGP expression during spermatogenesis.



DOWNREGULATION OF ARABINOGALACTAN PROTEINS DURING SPERMATOGENESIS ...

**Figure 2.** Arabinogalactan protein production during spermatogenesis. **A**. Extracted AGPs from developing *P. patens* gametophore tip quantified by radial diffusion assay. Note decrease in AGP levels during the maturation of sperm cells. At 28 dpi significantly fewer AGPs (p<0.05) are produced compared to non-induced tissue **B**. Extracted AGPs from developing *Ceratopteris richardii* prothalli, a flagellated plant known to require AGPs in sperm development. AGP levels are significantly increased (p<0.05) at 11 days after sewing compared to 8 days. Bars = SE; n = 3-4.

What was upregulated? Of the transcripts that were up regulated, no GO terms were significantly enriched. However, when the most up-regulated genes were assessed individually, some anticipated and unanticipated genes were identified (See Table 2 and archived data [JOHNSON, 2023]). For example, a  $\beta$ -tubulin component and two histone associated transcripts (Pp3c13\_14080 and Pp3c26\_7260) were among the top upregulated genes comparing 15 dpi to 28 dpi samples, as would be expected with the production of key microtubule-based structures and the spiral shaping of the nucleus. A key component to their maturation is the deletion of cytoplasm and organization of flagella into the extraprotoplasmic matrix. Thus, we would expect to find an upregulation of genes encoding secreted proteins, cell wall modifying enzymes and transport proteins, or possibly catabolic enzymes for cytoplasmic deletion and lysosome-related enzymes. A catabolic PLAT/LH2 protein (Pp3c12\_11710), and four putative secreted proteins: a Lipid Transfer Protein (Pp3c8\_1210), a pollen Ole e I Allergen (Pp3c5\_9210) and two BURP domain containing proteins PpBURP4 (Pp3c16\_19400) and PpBURP5 (Pp3c2\_4510) were among the most highly upregulated.

What was downregulated? The genes most downregulated throughout sperm cell development are mostly associated with photosynthesis (Table 2 and archived data [JOHNSON, 2023]). This is unsurprising as induction of antheridia involves a low light, short day, low temperature treatment. However, there may be a more direct link to spermatogenesis or antheridia development as male fern gametophytes also show lower levels of photosynthesis compared to their hermaphroditic counterparts [CHEN & al. 2019]. This is also in agreement with the observation that plastids are reduced early during spermatogenesis in the liverwort *Blasia pusila* [RENZAGLIA & DUCKETT, 1987].

Eric Eugene JOHNSON & al.

| samples, ranked compared 15dpl vs. 28 dpl to emphasize rate-stage spermatid development. |  |                          |                         |                        |                        |          |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| Gene code  | Putative function  | Sig<br>rank <sup>1</sup> | 15 dpi<br>vs.<br>28 dpi | 0 dpi<br>vs.<br>15 dpi | 0 dpi<br>vs.<br>28 dpi | Basemean |
| Pp3c16_19400   | BURP domain-containing protein   | 1                        | 5.06                    | 0.62                   | 5.68                   | 59.03    |
| Pp3c12_11710   | Lipase/lipooxygenase,<br>PLAT/LH2 family protein   | 2                        | 5.06                    | 0.00                   | 5.21                   | 41.05    |
| Pp3c13 14080   | Linker histone H1 and H5 family  | 3                        | 4.85                    | 0.15                   | 5.00                   | 35.19    |
| Pp3c8_1210   | Bifunctional inhibitor/lipid-<br>transfer protein/seed storage 2S<br>albumin superfamily protein | 4                        | 4.30                    | 0.51                   | 4.81                   | 39.20    |
| Pp3c2_4510   | BURP domain-containing protein   | 6                        | 3.98                    | 0.15                   | 4.13                   | 19.05    |
| Pp3c5_9210   | Pollen Ole e 1 allergen and<br>extensin family protein (A<br>subclass of AGPs)                   | 9                        | 2.96                    | 0.71                   | 3.67                   | 43.46    |
| Pp3c26_470   | Dehydrin family  | 12                       | 2.62                    | 0.22                   | 2.84                   | 251.42   |
| Pp3c26_7260  | histone H1-3   | 13                       | 2.52                    | 0.00                   | 2.66                   | 7.61     |
| Pp3c8_2420   | Tubulin beta chain 2   | 16                       | 2.41                    | 0.25                   | 2.66                   | 6.66     |
| Pp3c10_19280   | SF5-Stromal Interaction<br>Molecule Homolog  | 17                       | 2.30                    | 0.49                   | 2.79                   | 63.49    |

 Table 2. Most up-regulated genes during late antheridium development. Log2 (fold-increase) in samples, ranked compared 15dpi vs. 28 dpi to emphasize late-stage spermatid development.

<sup>1</sup>: Sig rank = rank of most significant (Padj) comparing 15dpi vs. 28dpi samples

| Table 3. Most down-regu | ilated genes during | g late antheridium | development. Log2     | 2 (fold-increase) in |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| samples, ranked com     | pared 15dpi vs. 28  | dpi to emphasize l | late-stage spermation | l development.       |

|              | Putative function  | Sig<br>rank <sup>1</sup> | 15 dpi        | 0 dpi         | 0 dpi         | -        |
|--------------|--|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| Gene code    |  |                          | vs.<br>28 dpi | vs.<br>15 dpi | vs.<br>28 dpi | Basemean |
| Pp3c13_15990 | Global Transcription Factor  | 5                        | -1.54         | NA            | -2.28         | 1106.97  |
| Pp3c13_15980 | ribulose bisphosphate<br>carboxylase small chain 1A                        | 7                        | -1.96         | -2.55         | -4.51         | 39257.61 |
| Pp3c13_16130 | ribulose bisphosphate<br>carboxylase small chain 1A                        | 8                        | -1.94         | -2.03         | -3.97         | 4418.33  |
| Pp3c13_15790 | NA   | 10                       | -1.99         | -1.19         | -3.18         | 199.05   |
| Pp3c13_15786 | ribulose bisphosphate<br>carboxylase small chain 1A                        | 11                       | -1.90         | -3.28         | -5.17         | 304.44   |
| Pp3c13_15800 | ribulose bisphosphate<br>carboxylase small chain 1A                        | 55                       | -1.24         | -3.73         | -4.97         | 7098.13  |
| Pp3c12_22350 | carotenoid cleavage<br>dioxygenase 1                                       | 312                      | NS            | -4.69         | -3.68         | 101.34   |
| Pp3c13_2310  | photosystem I subunit D-2  | 925                      | NS            | -1.71         | -2.12         | 291.15   |
| Pp3c27_2340  | photosystem II subunit R   | 1757                     | NS            | -1.73         | -1.46         | 2064.85  |
| Pp3c13_16000 | ribulose bisphosphate<br>carboxylase small chain 1A                        | 1799                     | NS            | -2.53         | -3.01         | 1919.28  |
| Pp3c18_7850  | NA   | 3120                     | NS            | -5.19         | -5.72         | 259.74   |
| Pp3c14_380   | Disease resistance-responsive<br>(dirigent-like protein) family<br>protein | 7060                     | NS            | -2.53         | -2.73         | 2644.46  |
| Pp3c8_7680   | NA   | 8096                     | NS            | -3.67         | -3.47         | 33208.92 |
| Pp3c19_21160 | plastocyanin 1   | 10180                    | NS            | -1.44         | -1.53         | 5099.99  |
| Pp3c3_18750  | ribulose bisphosphate<br>carboxylase small chain 1A                        | 18734                    | NS            | -2.25         | -2.31         | 3203.72  |

<sup>1</sup>: Sig rank = rank of most significant (Padj) comparing 15dpi vs. 28dpi samples

It has become clear that AGPs can play a major role serving as a Ca<sup>2+</sup> store in the apoplast in a pH dependent manner through the negatively charged GlcA residues common to AGPs [LAMPORT & VÁRNAI, 2013; LOPEZ-HERNANDEZ & al. 2020; ZHANG & al. 2021]. The high proportion of AGPs found in Ceratopteris spermatids were suggested to support flagella positioning and elongation through this calcium signaling mechanism [LOPEZ & RENZAGLIA, 2018]. Cortical microtubule dynamics are influenced by Ca<sup>2+</sup> [NICK, 2013] as are some interactions between AGPs and microtubules [NGUEMA-ONA & al. 2007; SARDAR & al. 2006], though a direct role in the polymerization of the stable microtubules found in flagella is not known. However, this mechanism could help explain why moss spermatocytes, with only 2 flagella show reduced AGP gene expression and protein as compared to the sperm of Ceratopteris with approximately 80 flagella [RENZAGLIA & al. 2017]. The diversity in AGP-glycosylation patterns found in tracheophytes [MUELLER & al. 2023] gives the possibility that AGPs evolved to provide a chemical environment facilitating development of these more complex sperm cells after the split from bryophytes. Furthermore, AGPs are also well known to be involved in tip growth, for which P. patens would have displayed significant protonema growth under vegetative conditions [LEE & al. 2005; TEH & al. 2022]. As the plants shift away from vegetative growth, the need for broad production of AGPs would also wane. [MIGNONE & BASILE, 2000] found that a strong increase in AGP production occurs as P. patens produces buds and transitions into gametophore growth, but in agreement with our data, the plants shift away from their production as gametophores transition into gametangia production.

Physcomitrium patens ecotypes. It was recently discovered that the most commonly studied ecotype of P. patens, Gransden (Gd), has accumulated (epi-)genetic changes resulting in spermatozoids with poorly formed flagella [HISS & al. 2017; MEYBERG & al. 2020]. This was attributed to consistent subculturing and encouraged the characterization of the Reute (Re) ecotype which shows healthy sperm production. To determine the nature of this in Gd, differentially expressed genes were determined and the key flaw in Gd seems to involve both the polymerization of its axoneme microtubules within the flagella as well as the adhearence of the plasma membrane to the flagella, roles AGPs could perceivably be involved in. However, off the 15 putative AGPs we identified as down-regulated in Gd, they were found to be similarly down-regulated or show no change in Re between juvenile and adult gametophore tissue, according to PEATmoss [FERNANDEZ-POZO & al. 2020]. This confirms our primary finding that AGP downregulation during gametangia development occurs in both Re and Gd. The only strongly up-regulated AGP we identified, Pp3c5\_9210V3.1, was similarly upregulated in Ru and it was subsequently shown to contain a premature stop codon in Re [MEYBERG & al. 2020]. Clearly it is not necessary for normal sperm cell development. Furthermore, we confirmed that the two BURP domain containing genes were also strongly upregulated in the Reute ecotype of P. patens during sperm cell maturation (Figure 3). Although our developmental approach to characterizing changes in gene expression was conducted in Gd, the genes identified show similar expression patterns in both ecotypes.



**Figure 3.** PpBURP4 and PpBURP5 are highly expressed during antheridia maturation in Reute ecotype. **A.** qPCR analysis of PpBURP4 and PpBURP5 in tissue 28 days past induction of antheridia formation. **B.** Image is representative of 28dpi tissue with mature swimming sperm (arrow) and a series of younger antheridia. Bar =  $50 \mu m$ .

*BURP-domain containing proteins*. Two of the most highly upregulated genes in this study belonged to a family of genes that shows some similarities to AGPs in that they are extracellular proteins [WANG & al. 2012; XU & al. 2013] that play roles in many stress and developmental pathways. There are also a number of reports of involvement of these genes with male gametes of seed plants [KHLAIMONGKHON & al. 2021; SUN & al. 2019; TREACY & al. 1997; WANG & al. 2003]. It could be that these proteins are produced and secreted to help modify the cell walls or extra protoplasmic matrices that serve a homologous function to the AGP-rich layer in *C. richardii* spermatogenesis [LOPEZ & RENZAGLIA, 2014].

The BURP domain itself was initially characterized by its presence in BMN2, a microspore protein from Brassica napus [BOUTILIER & al. 1994]; USP, a nonstorage seed protein from Vicia faba [BASSÜNER & al. 1988]; RD22, a dehydration responsive protein from Arabidopsis [YAMAGUCHI-SHINOZAKI & SHINOZAKI, 1993]; and PG18, a noncatalytic subunit of polygalacturonase isozyme I from Solanum lycopersicum [ZHENG & al. 1992]. The domain is located at the C-terminus and built from a pair of spaced cystine residues followed by four cysteine-histidine repeats (CHX<sub>10</sub>CHX<sub>23-27</sub>CHX<sub>23-26</sub>CHX<sub>8</sub>W) [DING & al. 2009; WANG & al. 2015]. This protein family emerged with the migration onto land but broad functional diversification only occurred after the split from lycophytes and likely showed strong diversification more recently among angiosperms [WANG & al. 2015; YU & al. 2022]. Phylogenetic analyses show most moss and lycophyte BURPs segregating into their own subfamily, BURP-IV, giving some question as to broad functional comparisons of these proteins between major plant lineages. To compound on that, each of the namesake genes for the family cluster into the three other BURP-domain containing gene subfamilies; including BMN1-like, PG1β-like, and BURPIII that includes RD22- and USP- homologues, which are all absent from lower land plants and limiting our ability to extrapolate functions of the identified genes. Regardless, the functional characterization of any BURP domain containing protein has only recently occurred whereas some members show autocatalytic peptide cyclase activity to form bioactive cyclopeptides [CHIGUMBA & al. 2022]. These are largely considered to be specialized metabolites that play defensive roles across a number of vascular plant lineages and it is unclear how these might contribute to the development of sperm cells in bryophytes. These

data highlight the need to further characterize BURP domain containing genes from seedless plants to determine their importance in spermatogenesis.

### Conclusions

Sperm cells represent brief time in an organism's life cycle in which the fate of a lineage falls onto a single cell. With this, it is not surprising that plant evolution shows only incremental changes in overall structure when comparing the major lineages. It was surprising to find major differences in the importance of AGPs during spermatogenesis comparing the model moss and fern. AGPs were hypothesized to facilitate the formation of flagella in ferns and our data may actually agree as the pair of flagella in bryophytes wouldn't require as great a need for these glycoproteins as the 80+ flagella found in *Ceratopteris* sperm. This begs to determine the quantity of AGPs found in the development of Cycads and Ginkgo and their 1000s of flagella. As an alternative explanation, findings that seedless plants show the most structural diversity of AGPs among plant lineages could have provided ferns a mechanism to evolve and change the makeup of the spermatid extraprotoplasmic matrix during maturation to provide roles that other cell wall components may be serving in bryophytes, such as BURP-domain containing proteins.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Drs. Karen RENZAGLIA and Renee LOPEZ-SMITH for helping to initiate and develop this project as well as the following students who contributed in smaller ways to its completion: Justin Bloom, LeMar Callway III, Corentine Villot, Lindsey Whaley, Destini Garrison, and Amanda Albert. This research was funded by the Jeffress Memorial Trust foundation, two Virginia Federation of Independent Colleges summer research fellowships, and internal funding at Virginia Wesleyan University.

#### References

- BASSÜNER R., BÄUMLEIN H., HUTH A., JUNG R., WOBUS U., RAPOPORT T. A., SAALBACH G. & MÜNTZ K. 1988. Abundant embryonic mRNA in field bean (*Vicia faba* L.) codes for a new class of seed proteins: cDNA cloning and characterization of the primary translation product. *Plant Molecular Biology*. 11(3): 321-334. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00027389
- BERNHARD D. L. & RENZAGLIA K. S. 1995. Spermiogenesis in the moss Aulacomnium palustre. The Bryologist. 98(1): 52-70. https://doi.org/10.2307/3243642
- BORNER G. H. H., LILLEY K. S., STEVENS T. J. & DUPREE P. 2003. Identification of Glycosylphosphatidylinositolanchored proteins in Arabidopsis. A proteomic and genomic analysis. *Plant Physiology*. 132(2): 568-577. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.021170
- BOUTILIER K. A., GINÉS M. J., DÉMOOR J. M., HUANG B., BASZCZYNSKI C. L., IYER V. N. & MIKI B. L. 1994. Expression of the BnmNAP subfamily of napin genes coincides with the induction of *Brassica* microspore embryogenesis. *Plant Molecular Biology*. 26(6): 1711-1723. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00019486
- CHEN X., CHEN Z., HUANG W., FU H., WANG Q., WANG Y. & CAO J. 2019. Proteomic analysis of gametophytic sex expression in the fern *Ceratopteris thalictroides*. *PLOS ONE*. **14**(8): e0221470. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221470
- CHIGUMBA D. N., MYDY L. S., DE WAAL F., LI W., SHAFIQ K., WOTRING J. W., MOHAMED O. G., MLADENOVIC T., TRIPATHI A., SEXTON J. Z., KAUTSAR S., MEDEMA M. H. & KERSTEN R. D. 2022. Discovery and biosynthesis of cyclic plant peptides via autocatalytic cyclases. *Nature Chemical Biology*. 18(1): Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41589-021-00892-6
- CHUDZIK B., SZCZUKA E., ZARZYKA B. & LESZCZUK A. 2014. Distribution of arabinogalactan proteins during microsporogenesis in the anther of *Bellis perennis* L. (Asteraceae). *Acta Biologica Cracoviensia. Series Botanica.* **52**(2): 1-12.

- COSTA M. L., SOBRAL R., COSTA M. M. R., AMORIM M. I. & COIMBRA S. 2015. Evaluation of the presence of arabinogalactan proteins and pectins during *Quercus suber* male gametogenesis. *Annals of Botany.* 115(1): 81-92. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcu223
- DING X., HOU X., XIE K. & XIONG L. 2009. Genome-wide identification of BURP domain-containing genes in rice reveals a gene family with diverse structures and responses to abiotic stresses. *Planta*. 230(1): 149-163. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-009-0929-z
- ELORTZA F., MOHAMMED S., BUNKENBORG J., FOSTER L. J., NÜHSE T. S., BRODBECK U., PECK S. C. & JENSEN O. N. 2006. Modification-specific proteomics of plasma membrane proteins: identification and characterization of glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins released upon phospholipase D treatment. *Journal of Proteome Research.* 5(4): 935-943. https://doi.org/10.1021/pr050419u
- ELORTZA F., NÜHSE T. S., FOSTER L. J., STENSBALLE A., PECK S. C. & JENSEN O. N. 2003. Proteomic analysis of Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored membrane proteins. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics*. 2(12): 1261-1270. https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M300079-MCP200
- FERNANDEZ-POZO N., HAAS F. B., MEYBERG R., ULLRICH K. K., HISS M., PERROUD P.-F., HANKE S., KRATZ V., POWELL A. F., VESTY E. F., DAUM C. G., ZANE M., LIPZEN A., SREEDASYAM A., GRIMWOOD J., COATES J. C., BARRY K., SCHMUTZ J., MUELLER L. A. & RENSING S. A. 2020. PEATmoss (*Physcomitrella* Expression Atlas Tool): a unified gene expression atlas for the model plant *Physcomitrella patens*. *The Plant Journal*. 102(1): 165-177. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14607
- GENAU A. C., LI Z., RENZAGLIA K. S., FERNANDEZ-POZO N., NOGUÉ F., HAAS F. B., WILHELMSSON P. K. I., ULLRICH K. K., SCHREIBER M., MEYBERG R., GROSCHE C. & RENSING S. A. 2021. HAG1 and SWI3A/B control of male germ line development in *P. patens* suggests conservation of epigenetic reproductive control across land plants. *Plant Reproduction.* 34(2): 149-173. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00497-021-00409-0
- HENRY J. 2021. Studies on cell wall composition in bryophytes across taxa, tissue, and time. PhD Thesis. Southern Illinois University Carbondale, Illinois, United States.
- HISS M., MEYBERG R., WESTERMANN J., HAAS F. B. SCHNEIDER L., SCHALLENBERG-RÜDINGER M., ULLRICH K. K. & RENSING S. A. 2017. Sexual reproduction, sporophyte development and molecular variation in the model moss *Physcomitrella patens*: introducing the ecotype Reute. *The Plant Journal*. 90(3): 606-620. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13501
- HOHE A., RENSING S. A., MILDNER M., LANG D. & RESKI R. 2002. Day length and temperature strongly influence sexual reproduction and expression of a novel MADS-Box gene in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *Plant Biology*. 4(5): 595-602. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2002-35440
- JOHNSON E. 2023. Changes in gene expression during spermatogenesis in *P. patens. Mendeley Data.* V1. https://doi.org/10.17632/6t23jb94jg.1
- KHLAIMONGKHON S., CHAKHONKAEN S., TONGMARK K., SANGARWUT N., PANYAWUT N., WASINANON T., SIKAEWTUNG K., WANCHANA S., MONGKOLSIRIWATANA C., CHUNWONGES J. & MUANGPROM A. 2021. RNA Sequencing reveals rice genes involved in male reproductive development under temperature alteration. *Plants*, 10(4): 4. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10040663
- LAMPORT D. T. A. & VÁRNAI P. 2013. Periplasmic arabinogalactan glycoproteins act as a calcium capacitor that regulates plant growth and development. New Phytologist. 197(1): 58-64. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12005
- LAMPORT D. T. A., VARNAI P. & SEAL C. E. 2014. Back to the future with the AGP-Ca2+ flux capacitor. Annals of Botany. 114(6): 1069-1085. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcu161
- LANDBERG K., PEDERSON E. R. A., VIAENE T., BOZORG B., FRIML J., JÖNSSON H., THELANDER M. & SUNDBERG E. 2013. The moss *Physcomitrella patens* reproductive organ development is highly organized, affected by the two SHI/STY genes and by the level of active auxin in the SHI/STY expression domain. *Plant Physiology*. **162**(3): 1406-1419. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.214023
- LEE K. J. D., SAKATA Y., MAU S. L., PETTOLINO F., BACIC A., QUATRANO R. S., KNIGHT C. D. & KNOX J. P. 2005. Arabinogalactan proteins are required for apical cell extension in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *The Plant Cell Online*. **17**(11): 3051-3065. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.105.034413
- LESZCZUK A., SZCZUKA E. & ZDUNEK A. 2019. Arabinogalactan proteins: distribution during the development of male and female gametophytes. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*. 135: 9-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.11.023
- LI Y., BRUUN L., PIERSON E. S. & CRESTI M. 1992. Periodic deposition of arabinogalactan epitopes in the cell wall of pollen tubes of *Nicotiana tabacum* L. *Planta*. **188**(4): 532-538. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00197045
- LOPEZ R. A., MANSOURI K., HENRY J. S. D., FLOWERS N. C., VAUGHN K. & RENZAGLIA K. S. 2017. Immunogold localization of molecular constituents associated with basal bodies, flagella, and extracellular matrices in male gametes of land plants. *Bio-Protocol.* 7(21): e2599. https://doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.2599

- LOPEZ R. A. & RENZAGLIA K. S. 2014. Multiflagellated sperm cells of *Ceratopteris richardii* are bathed in arabinogalactan proteins throughout development. *American Journal of Botany*. 101(12): 2052-2061. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1400424
- LOPEZ R. A. & RENZAGLIA K. S. 2018. The Ceratopteris (fern) developing motile gamete walls contain diverse polysaccharides, but not pectin. Planta. 247(2): 393-404. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-017-2793-6
- LOPEZ-HERNANDEZ F., TRYFONA T., RIZZA A., YU X. L., HARRIS M. O. B., WEBB A. A. R., KOTAKE T. & DUPREE P. 2020. Calcium binding by arabinogalactan polysaccharides is important for normal plant development. *The Plant Cell.* 32(10): 3346-3369. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.20.00027
- LOPEZ-SWALLS R. 2016. The special walls around gametes in Ceratopteris richardii and Aulacomnium palustre: Using immunocytochemistry to expose structure, function, and development. PhD Thesis. Southern Illinois University Carbondale, Illinois, United States.
- MA T., DONG F., LUAN D., HU H. & ZHAO J. 2019. Gene expression and localization of arabinogalactan proteins during the development of anther, ovule, and embryo in rice. *Protoplasma*. 256(4): 909-922. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00709-019-01349-3
- MA Y., YAN C., LI H., WU W., LIU Y., WANG Y., CHEN Q. & MA H. 2017. Bioinformatics prediction and evolution analysis of arabinogalactan proteins in the Plant Kingdom. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00066
- MEYBERG R., PERROUD P. F., HAAS F. B., SCHNEIDER L., HEIMERL T., RENZAGLIA K. S. & RENSING S. A. 2020. Characterisation of evolutionarily conserved key players affecting eukaryotic flagellar motility and fertility using a moss model. *New Phytologist.* 227(2): 440-454. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16486
- MIGNONE M. M. & BASILE D. V. 2000. Evidence for the interrelated actions of auxin, ethylene, and arabinogalactanproteins on the transition from non-apical to apical growth of Physcomitrella patens Hedw. (Funariaceae).
   In: NOTHNAGEL E. A., BACIC A. & CLARKE A. E. (eds). 2000. Cell and developmental biology of arabinogalactan-proteins, 205–219 pp., Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-4207-0 18
- MOGAMI N., NAKAMURA S. & NAKAMURA N. 1999. Immunolocalization of the cell wall components in *Pinus densiflora* pollen. *Protoplasma*. 206(1-3): 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01279247
- MUELLER K. K., PFEIFER L., SCHULDT L., SZÖVÉNYI P., de VRIES S., de VRIES J., JOHNSON K. L. & CLASSEN B. 2023. Fern cell walls and the evolution of arabinogalactan proteins in streptophytes. *The Plant Journal*. **114**(4): 875-894. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.16178
- NGUEMA-ONA E., BANNIGAN A., CHEVALIER L., BASKIN T. I. & DRIOUICH A. 2007. Disruption of arabinogalactan proteins disorganizes cortical microtubules in the root of Arabidopsis thaliana. *The Plant Journal*. **52**(2): 240-251.
- NICK P. 2013. Microtubules, signaling and abiotic stress. *The Plant Journal.* **75**(2): 309-323. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12102
- PFAFFL M. W. 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT–PCR. Nucleic Acids Research. 29(9): e45.
- POPPER Z. A. 2011. Extraction and detection of arabinogalactan proteins. In: POPPER Z. (eds.). 2011. The Plant Cell Wall: methods in molecular biology. 715: 245-254. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-008-9\_17
- QIN Y., CHEN D. & ZHAO J. 2007. Localization of arabinogalactan proteins in anther, pollen, and pollen tube of Nicotiana tabacum L. Protoplasma. 231(1-2): 43-53. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00709-007-0245-z
- RENZAGLIA K. S. & DUCKETT J. G. 1987. Spermatogenesis in *Blasia pusilla*: from young antheridium through mature spermatozoid. *The Bryologist*. **90**(4): 419-449. https://doi.org/10.2307/3243109
- RENZAGLIA K. S., DUFF R. J. T., NICKRENT D. L. & GARBARY D. J. 2000. Vegetative and reproductive innovations of early land plants: implications for a unified phylogeny. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.* 355(1398): 769-793.
- RENZAGLIA K. S. & GARBARY D. J. 2001. Motile gametes of land plants: diversity, development, and evolution. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences.* **20**(2): 107-213. https://doi.org/10.1080/20013591099209
- RENZAGLIA K. S., LOPEZ R. A. & SCHMITT A. S. J. 2017. Scanning Electron Microscopy of motile male gametes of land plants. *Bio-Protocol.* 7(19): e2570. https://doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.2570
- RESKI R. & COVE D. J. 2004. Physcomitrella patens. Current Biology. 14(7): R261-R262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2004.03.016
- SARDAR H. S., YANG J. & SHOWALTER A. M. 2006. Molecular interactions of arabinogalactan proteins with cortical microtubules and F-actin in Bright Yellow-2 tobacco cultured cells. *Plant Physiology*. 142(4): 1469-1479.
- SEIFERT G. J. & ROBERTS K. 2007. The biology of arabinogalactan proteins. Annual Review of Plant Biology. 58(1): 137-161. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.58.032806.103801

- SILVA J., FERRAZ R., DUPREE P., SHOWALTER A. M. & COIMBRA S. 2020. Three decades of advances in arabinogalactan-protein biosynthesis. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.610377
- SOUTHWORTH D. & CRESTI M. 1997. Comparison of flagellated and nonflagellated sperm in plants. American Journal of Botany. 84(9): 1301-1301.
- SOUTHWORTH D. & KWIATKOWSKI S. 1996. Arabinogalactan proteins at the cell surface of *Brassica* sperm and *Lilium* sperm and generative cells. *Sexual Plant Reproduction*. **9**(5): 269-272. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02152701
- SUN H., WEI H., WANG H., HAO P., GU L., LIU G., MA L., SU Z. & YU S. 2019. Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the BURP domain-containing genes in *Gossypium hirsutum*. BMC Genomics. 20(1): 558. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-5948-y
- TAN L., LEYKAM J. F. & KIELISZEWSKI M. J. 2003. Glycosylation motifs that direct arabinogalactan addition to arabinogalactan-proteins. *Plant Physiology*. 132(3): 1362-1369. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.021766
- TAN L., VARNAI P., LAMPORT D. T. A., YUAN C., XU J., QIU F. & KIELISZEWSKI M. J. 2010. Plant O-Hydroxyproline Arabinogalactans are composed of repeating trigalactosyl subunits with short bifurcated side chains. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 285(32): 24575-24583. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.100149
- TEH O. K., SINGH P., REN J., HUANG L. T., ARIYARATHNE M., SALAMON B. P., WANG Y., KOTAKE T. & FUJITA T. 2022. Surface-localized glycoproteins act through class C ARFs to fine-tune gametophore initiation in *Physcomitrium patens*. *Development*. 149(24): dev200370. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.200370
- TREACY B. K., HATTORI J., PRUD'HOMME I., BARBOUR E., BOUTILIER K., BASZCZYNSKI C. L., HUANG B., JOHNSON D. A. & MIKI B. L. 1997. Bnm1, a Brassica pollen-specific gene. *Plant Molecular Biology*. 34(4): 603-611. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005851801107
- VAN HOLST G. J. & CLARKE A. E. 1985. Quantification of arabinogalactan-protein in plant extracts by single radial gel diffusion. Analytical Biochemistry. 148(2): 446-450. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(85)90251-9
- WANG A., XIA Q., XIE W., DATLA R. & SELVARAJ G. 2003. The classical Ubisch bodies carry a sporophytically produced structural protein (RAFTIN) that is essential for pollen development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.* 100(24): 14487-14492. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2231254100
- WANG H., ZHOU L., FU Y., CHEUNG M. Y., WONG F. L., PHANG T. H., SUN Z. & LAM H. M. 2012. Expression of an apoplast-localized BURP-domain protein from soybean (GmRD22) enhances tolerance towards abiotic stress. *Plant, Cell & Environment.* 35(11): 1932-1947. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2012.02526.x
- WANG L., WU N., ZHU Y., SONG W., ZHAO X., LI Y. & HU Y. 2015. The divergence and positive selection of the plant-specific BURP-containing protein family. *Ecology and Evolution*. 5(22): 5394-5412. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1792
- XU B., GOU J. Y., LI F. G., SHANGGUAN X. X., ZHAO B., YANG C. Q., WANG L. J., YUAN S., LIU C. J. & CHEN X. Y. 2013. A cotton BURP domain protein interacts with α-Expansin and their co-expression promotes plant growth and fruit production. *Molecular Plant.* 6(3): 945-958. https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/sss112
- YAMAGUCHI-SHINOZAKI K. & SHINOZAKI K. 1993. The plant hormone abscisic acid mediates the drought-induced expression but not the seed-specific expression of rd22, a gene responsive to dehydration stress in Arabidopsis thaliana. Molecular and General Genetics MGG. 238(1): 17-25. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00279525
- YATOMI R., NAKAMURA S. & NAKAMURA N. 2002. Immunochemical and cytochemical detection of wall components of germinated pollen of gymnosperms. *Grana.* 41(1): 21-28. https://doi.org/10.1080/00173130260045468
- YU S., YANG F., ZOU Y., YANG Y., LI T., CHEN S., WANG Y., XU K., XIA H. & LUO L. 2022. Overexpressing *PpBURP2* in rice increases plant defense to abiotic stress and bacterial leaf blight. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 13: 812279. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.812279
- ZHANG Y., HELD M. A. KAUR, D. & SHOWALTER A. M. 2021. CRISPR-Cas9 multiplex genome editing of the hydroxyproline-O-galactosyltransferase gene family alters arabinogalactan-protein glycosylation and function in Arabidopsis. *BMC Plant Biology*. 21(1): 16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-020-02791-9
- ZHENG L., HEUPEL R. C. & DELLAPENNA D. 1992. The beta subunit of tomato fruit polygalacturonase isoenzyme 1: isolation, characterization, and identification of unique structural features. *The Plant Cell.* 4(9): 1147-1156. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.4.9.1147

How to cite this article:

JOHNSON E. E., TAYLOR-LAPOLE A. M. & BAVUSO M. 2023. Downregulation of arabinogalactan proteins during spermatogenesis in the moss *Physcomitrium patens*. J. Plant Develop. 30: 3-15. https://doi.org/10.47743/jpd.2023.30.1.930